arXiv:1402.6095v1 [math.CV] 25 Feb 2014

ON CARATHÉODORY COMPLETENESS IN $\mathbb{C}^n$

ARMEN EDIGARIAN

Abstract. We study c-completeness on domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$. We reprove Sibony/Selby result on completeness on the complex plane. We also give a characterization of c-completeness in Reinhardt domains.

1. Introduction

Let X be a complex manifold. Put

$$c_X(z,w) = \sup\{\rho(f(z), f(w)) : f \in \mathcal{O}(X, \mathbb{D})\},$$

where ρ denotes the hyperbolic (Poincaré) distance in the unit disc $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$ (see e.g. [7], Chapter I) and $\mathcal{O}(X, \mathbb{D})$ denotes the set of all holomorphic mappings $X \to \mathbb{D}$. We call c_X the Carathéodory pseudodistance (see e.g. [7], Chapter II).

Note that, in general, c_X is not a distance (e.g., $c_{\mathbb{C}^n} \equiv 0$). We say that a manifold X is c-hyperbolic if c_X is a distance, i.e., for any $z, w \in X$ there exists a bounded holomorphic function f on X such that $f(z) \neq f(w)$. For c-hyperbolic manifold it is natural to study a subclass of manifolds for which the metric space (X, c_X) is complete. We call this type of manifolds c-complete. Moreover, we say that a manifold X is c-finitely compact if for any $x_0 \in X$ and for any $r > 0$ we have $\{x \in X : c_X(x_0, x) < r\} \Subset X$. Note that any c-finitely compact domain is c-complete. Whether the inverse implication holds for domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$ is still an open question.

The following Conjecture is open for more then 30 years

Conjecture 1. *Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a bounded pseudoconvex domain with C^∞ boundary. Then D is c-complete.*

In our opinion, one of the main problem is lack of good conjectures, which "approximate" to the above one. Our aim is to give such a conjecture and verify it for some class of domains.

First recall the following result, proved by P. Pflug

Theorem 2. *Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a c-hyperbolic domain. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) D is c-finitely compact;
(2) for any sequence $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset D$ without accumulation points (w.r.t. usual topology in D) there exists an $f \in \mathcal{O}(D, \mathbb{D})$ with $\sup_n |f(z_n)| = 1$.

Following Kosiński and Zwonek [9], for a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ we say that $\zeta \in \partial D$ is a weak peak point if there exists $f \in \mathcal{O}(D) \cap C(D \cup \{\zeta\})$ such that $|f| < 1$ on D and $f(\zeta) = 1$.

The main result of the paper is to show

Key words and phrases. Carathéodory distance, c-completeness, c-finitely compactness, Edwards' theorem, Jensen measure, Reinhardt domains.

Research partially supported by the National Science Center of Poland (NCN) grant UMO-2011/03/B/ST1/04758.

Theorem 3. *Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a c-hyperbolic pseudoconvex domain. Assume that*

- *$n = 1$, or*
- *D is a Reinhardt domain.*

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) D is c-complete.
(2) D is c-finitely compact.
(3) any $\zeta \in \partial D$ is a weak peak point.

Theorem 3 in case $n = 1$ is the result proved by N. Sibony [15] and M.A. Selby [14]. However, their proof heavily depends on Melnikov's theorem (see e.g. [5]) which is used to show the existence of a specific measure and, in our opinion, are strictly one-dimensional. We show that the measure one can get from a generalization of Edwards' theorem.

Note that in Theorem 3 the equivalence (1) $\iff$ (2) is well-known. So, our result states that these conditions are equivalent to condition (3).

The above result suggests that c-finitely compactness is equivalent to the existence of weak peak functions.

2. Non-compact version of Edwards' Theorem

This part of the paper is motivated by [6] and we use methods of that paper.

Let X be a topological space and let $C(X)$ (resp. $C_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$) be the set of all real-valued (resp. complex-valued) continuous functions on X. We say that $S \subset C(X)$ is a convex cone if S contains constant functions and $\alpha f + \beta g \in S$ for any $f, g \in S$ and any $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$. Fix $x \in X$. For any $\varphi \in C(X)$ we consider its envelope defined as

$$\Phi_x(\varphi) = \sup\{\psi(x) : \psi \in S, \psi \leq \varphi\}.$$

Note that $\Phi_x : C(X) \to [-\infty, +\infty)$ is a positive superlinear operator, i.e.,

(1) $\Phi_x(c\varphi) = c\Phi_x(\varphi)$ for any $\varphi \in C(X)$ and any $c \geq 0$;
(2) $\Phi_x(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2) \geq \Phi_x(\varphi_1) + \Phi_x(\varphi_2)$ for any $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C(X)$;
(3) $\Phi_x(\varphi) \geq 0$ for any $\varphi \in C(X)$ such that $\varphi \geq 0$.

We have the following result (which follows from a version of Hahn-Banach theorem, see e.g., [6]).

Theorem 4. *Let X be a topological space and let $x \in X$ be a fixed point. Assume that $S \subset C(X)$ is a convex cone. Then*

$$\Phi_x(\varphi) = \min\{L(\varphi) : L \text{ is a positive linear operator on } C(X), L \geq \Phi_x\}.$$

There is an extensive literature on the study of positive linear functionals on $C(X)$ (see e.g., [8], [1], and [12])[1]. However, we are interested on very special ones, related to compact spaces. Let X be a normal topological space. We say that X is of GPP-type if for any positive linear functional $\Lambda : C(X) \to \mathbb{R}$ there exists a compact set $K \subset X$ such that $\Lambda(\varphi) = 0$ for any $\varphi \in C(X)$ with $\varphi = 0$ on K. According to [6] any locally compact σ-compact Hausdorff space is of GPP-type. We have another example.

Theorem 5. *Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a domain and let $K \subset \partial D$ be a compact set. Then $X = D \cup K$ is of GPP-type.*

[1]The author is thankful to Piotr Niemiec and Jan Stochel for the references on linear functionals.

Proof. Take sequences R_m, r_m such that $R_m > r_m > R_{m+1}$ and $R_m \to 0$ (e.g., $R_m = \frac{1}{3^m}$ and $r_m = \frac{2}{3^{m+1}}$). Consider functions $\chi_m \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $0 \le \chi_m \le 1$ having the following properties:

$$\chi_1(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & t \ge R_1 \\ 0 & t \le r_1 \end{cases}$$

and for any $m \ge 2$

$$\chi_m(t) = \begin{cases} 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \chi_j(t) & t \ge R_m \\ 0 & t \le r_m \end{cases}.$$

Note that $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \chi_m(t) = 1$ for $t > 0$. Moreover, $\chi_m(t) = 0$ for $t \ge R_{m-1}$ and $t \le r_m$.

Put $A_1 = \{x \in X : \operatorname{dist}(x, K) \ge r_1\}$ and $A_m = \{x \in X : r_m \le \operatorname{dist}(x, K) \le R_{m-1}\}$, $m \ge 2$. Note that A_m, $m \ge 2$, are (relatively) closed sets in D and that $\chi_m(\operatorname{dist}(x, K)) = 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus A_m$. Moreover, $\cup_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m = D$. We want to show that for any $m \ge 1$ there exists a compact set $K_m \subset A_m$ with the following property: $\Lambda(\varphi) = 0$ whenever $\varphi \in C(X)$, $\varphi \ge 0$, and $\varphi = 0$ on $(X \setminus A_m) \cup K_m$.

Fix $m \ge 1$. For any integer $j \ge 1$ we put

$$K_{mj} = \{x \in A_m : \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial D) \ge \frac{1}{j}, \|x\| \le j\}.$$

Note that K_{mj} are compact sets. We also have $\cup_{j=1}^{\infty} K_{mj} = A_m$ for any $m \ge 1$. Let us show that there exists a $j = j(m)$ such that $\Lambda(\varphi) = 0$ whenever $\varphi \in C(X)$, $\varphi \ge 0$, $\varphi = 0$ on $(D \setminus A_m) \cup K_{mj}$. Indeed, assume that for any $j \ge 1$ there exists $\varphi_j \in C(X)$ such that $\varphi_j \ge 0$ on X, $\varphi_j = 0$ on $(X \setminus A_m) \cup K_{mj}$, and $\Lambda(\varphi_j) \ne 0$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\Lambda(\varphi_j) = 1$. Consider $\varphi = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi_j$. Note that $\varphi \in C(X)$. Moreover, $\Lambda(\varphi) \ge \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Lambda(\varphi_j) = N$ for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, $\Lambda(\varphi) = +\infty$. A contradiction.

Consider a set

$$L = \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} K_{mj(m)} \cup K.$$

Note that L is a compact set. It suffices to show that $\Lambda(\varphi) = 0$ for any $\varphi \in C(X)$ such that $\varphi = 0$ on L. First let us shot the mentioned property for $\varphi \ge 0$. So, fix a function $\varphi \in C(X)$ such that $\varphi \ge 0$ and $\varphi = 0$ on L. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Since $\varphi = 0$ on K, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $\varphi < \epsilon$ on $\{z \in D : \operatorname{dist}(z, K) \le \delta\}$. We have

$$\varphi = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \varphi\psi_m = \sum_{m=0}^{m_0} \varphi\psi_m + \sum_{m=m_0+1}^{\infty} \varphi\psi_m.$$

Put $\tilde{\varphi} = \sum_{m=m_0+1}^{\infty} \varphi\psi_m$. For sufficiently big m_0 we have $\tilde{\varphi} = 0$ on $\{z \in D : \operatorname{dist}(z, K) > \delta\}$. And, therefore, $0 \le \tilde{\varphi} \le \epsilon$ on D. So,

$$\Lambda(\varphi) = \sum_{m=0}^{m_0} \Lambda(\varphi\psi_m) + \Lambda(\tilde{\varphi}) = \Lambda(\tilde{\varphi}) \le \epsilon\Lambda(1).$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we get $\Lambda(\varphi) = 0$.

If φ is not necessary positive, then take $\varphi = \varphi_+ - \varphi_-$, where $\varphi_+ = \max\{\varphi, 0\}$ and $\varphi_- = \varphi_+ - \varphi$. Then $L(\varphi) = L(\varphi_+) - L(\varphi_-) = 0$. □

We need also the following version of the Riesz representation theorem.

Proposition 6. *Let X be a normal topological space and let $L : C(X) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a positive linear functional. Assume that there exists a compact set $K \subset X$ such that $L(\varphi) = 0$ whenever $\varphi \in C(X)$, $\varphi = 0$ on K. Then there exists a Borel finite measure μ with support in K such that*

$$L(\varphi) = \int \varphi(x) d\mu(x) \quad \text{for any } \varphi \in C(X).$$

Proof. Let us define a positive linear operator $\widetilde{L} : C(K) \to \mathbb{R}$. Fix $\varphi \in C(K)$. From the normality of X there exists $\widetilde{\varphi} \in C(X)$ such that $\widetilde{\varphi} = \varphi$ on K. We put $\widetilde{L}(\varphi) = L(\widetilde{\varphi})$. Now we use the classical Riesz representation theorem for the operator $\widetilde{L}$ and get μ. □

For each convex cone S and a point $x \in X$ we associate two sets:

(1) $J_x^S(X)$ - the set of all *Jensen measures* with barycenter at x which consists of all Borel probability measures μ with compact support such that $\psi(x) \le \int \psi d\mu$ for any $\psi \in X$;
(2) $R_x^S(X)$ - the set of all *representing measures* with barycenter at x which consists of all Borel probability measures μ with compact support such that $\psi(x) = \int \psi d\mu$ for any $\psi \in X$;

Note that $R_x^S(X) \subset J_x^S(X)$.
In 1965 Edwards [3] proved the following result:

Theorem 7. *Let X be a compact topological space and let $S \subset C(X)$ be a convex cone. Assume that φ is a lower semicontinuous function on X. Then*

$$\Phi_x(\varphi) = \min\Big\{ \int \varphi d\mu : \mu \in J_x^S(X) \Big\}.$$

In 2013 Gogus, Perkins, and Poletsky [6] proved the following non-compact version of Edwards' theorem

Theorem 8. *Let X be a locally compact σ-compact Hausdorff space and let $S \subset C(X)$ be a convex cone. Assume φ be a continuous function on X. Then $\Phi_x(\varphi) \equiv -\infty$ or*

$$\Phi_x(\varphi) = \min\Big\{ \int \varphi d\mu : \mu \in J_x^S(X) \Big\}.$$

From the above results we get

Theorem 9. *Let X be a normal topological space of GPP-type and let $S \subset C(X)$ be a convex cone. If $\varphi \in C(X)$ then for any $x \in X$ we have $\Phi_x(\varphi) = -\infty$ or*

$$\Phi_x(\varphi) = \inf\Big\{ \int \varphi d\mu : \mu \in J_x^{\mathcal{S}} \Big\} \quad \text{for any } x \in X.$$

We have the following important corollary, which is a non-compact counterpart of Theorems II.11.1 and II.11.3 in [4].

Corollary 10. *Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a domain and let $\zeta \in \partial D$. We put $X = D \cup \{\zeta\}$ and $S = \{\Re(f) : f \in A(X)\}$, where $A(X) = H^\infty(D) \cap C_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) $R_\zeta^S(X) = \{\delta_\zeta\}$;
(2) there exists a function $f \in A(X)$ such that $f(\zeta) = 1$ and $|f| < 1$ on D, i.e., ζ is a peak point for $A(X)$;

(3) *there exist a* $c \in (0,1)$ *and an* $M \geq 1$ *such that for any* $r > 0$ *there exists an* $f \in A(X)$ *with*
   (a) $\|f\|_X \leq M$;
   (b) $f(\zeta) = 1$;
   (c) $|f| \leq c$ *on* $X \setminus \mathbb{D}(\zeta, r)$.

3. Carathéodory completeness on the complex plane

Before we state main results of this section, let us recall some notions and results from one-dimensional analysis.

Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a domain and let $\zeta \in \partial D$. Recall that if

$$\limsup_{r\to 0} \frac{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{D}(\zeta, r) \setminus D)}{r^2} > 0$$

then ζ is a peak point for $A(\overline{D}) = \mathcal{O}(D) \cap C(\overline{D})$. Here, $\mathcal{L}$ denotes the Lebesgue measure on the complex plane. Essentially, its Curtis's Criterion and the relation between analytic capacity and the Lebesgue measure (see e.g. [4], Corollary VIII.4.2).

So, if ζ is not a peak point for $A(\overline{D})$ then

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \frac{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{D}(\zeta, r) \cap D)}{\pi r^2} = 1. \tag{1}$$

Let $\mathcal{M}$ denotes the set of all positive probability measure in $\mathbb{C}$ with compact support and let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$. We define its Newton potential as $M(\xi) = \int \frac{1}{|w-\xi|} d\mu(w)$. The following result is a corollary of Fubini's theorem (see e.g. [16], Lemma 26.16).

Proposition 11. *For any* $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ *we have*

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_{\mathbb{D}(\zeta,r)} |w - \zeta| \cdot M(w) d\mathcal{L}(w) = \mu(\{\zeta\}).$$

In particular, if $\mu(\{\zeta\}) = 0$ *then for any* $\epsilon > 0$ *the set*

$$\Pi(\epsilon) = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - \zeta| \cdot M(w) > \epsilon\}$$

has the property

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \frac{\mathcal{L}(\Pi(\epsilon) \cap \mathbb{D}(\zeta, r))}{\pi r^2} = 0.$$

As a Corollary of Proposition 11 and (1) we get the following

Corollary 12. *Let* $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ *be a domain, let* $\zeta \in \partial D$, *and let* μ *be a Borel measure with compact support in* $D \cup \{\zeta\}$ *such that* $\mu(\{\zeta\}) = 0$. *Assume that* ζ *is not a peak point for* $A(\overline{D})$. *Then*

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \frac{\mathcal{L}(D \cap \mathbb{D}(\zeta, r) \setminus \Pi(\epsilon))}{\pi r^2} = 1.$$

The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 13. *Let* $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ *be a domain. Consider the following conditions:*

(1) *for any* $\zeta \in \partial D$ *there exist no a Borel probability measure* μ *with compact support in* $D \cup \{\zeta\}$ *such that* $\mu \neq \delta_\zeta$ *and*

$$|f(\zeta)| \leq \int_{D\cup\{\zeta\}} |f(w)| d\mu(w) \quad \text{for any } f \in A(D \cup \{\zeta\}).$$

(2) for any $\zeta \in \partial D$ there exist no a Borel probability measure μ with compact support in $D \cup \{\zeta\}$ such that $\mu \neq \delta_\zeta$ and

$$f(\zeta) = \int_{D\cup\{\zeta\}} f(w)d\mu(w) \quad \text{for any } f \in A(D \cup \{\zeta\}).$$

(3) there exists an $f \in A(D \cup \{\zeta\})$ such that $f(\zeta) = 1$ and $|f| < 1$ on D.
(4) D is c-finitely compact.
(5) D is c-complete.

Then (1) $\implies$ (2) $\implies$ (3) $\implies$ (4) $\implies$ (5). *Moreover, if $n = 1$ then* (5) $\implies$ (1) *and, therefore, all the above conditions are equivalent.*

Proof of Theorem 13. Note that the implications (1) $\implies$ (2) and (3) $\implies$ (4) $\implies$ (5) are immediate. The implication (2) $\implies$ (3) follows from Corollary 10.

Assume that $n = 1$. Let us prove (5) $\implies$ (1). Assume that there exists a positive probability measure μ such that $\mu(D) = 1$ and

$$|f(\zeta)| \leq \int_D |f|d\mu \quad \text{for any } f \in A(D \cup \{\zeta\}).$$

Fix $f \in A(D \cup \{\zeta\})$. Then there exists a sequence $f_n \in H^\infty(D)$ such that $\|f_n\|_D \leq 17\|f\|_D$, f_n extends to be analytic in a neighborhood of ζ and f_n converges uniformly to f on any set of type $D \setminus \mathbb{D}(\zeta, \epsilon)$, where $\epsilon > 0$.

For any $\eta \in D$ we put

$$g_n(z) = \frac{f_n(z) - f_n(\eta)}{z - \eta}.$$

Note that $g_n \in H^\infty(D \cup \{\zeta\})$. Then

$$|g_n(\zeta)| \leq \int_D |g_n(w)|d\mu(w) \leq 2\|f_n\|_\infty M(\eta) \leq 34\|f\|_\infty M(\eta)$$

and, therefore,

$$|f_n(\zeta) - f_n(\eta)| \leq |\zeta - \eta| \cdot 2\|f_n\|_\infty M(\eta) \leq |\zeta - \eta| \cdot 34\|f\|_\infty M(\eta).$$

For any $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in D$ we have

$$|f(\eta_1) - f(\eta_2)| \leq 34\|f\|_\infty \cdot \big(|\zeta - \eta_1| \cdot M(\eta_1) + |\zeta - \eta_2| \cdot M(\eta_2)\big).$$

According to Corollary 12 we can take a sequence $\{\eta_\nu\}$ such that $\eta_\nu \to \zeta$ and $|\zeta - \eta_\nu| \cdot M(\eta_\nu) \leq \frac{1}{2^\nu}$. Then $\{\eta_\nu\}$ is a c-Cauchy sequence. A contradiction. □

4. The Reinhardt domains

A domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is called *Reinhardt* if $(\lambda_1 z_1, \dots, \lambda_n z_n) \in D$ for all points $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in D$ and any $|\lambda_1| = \cdots = |\lambda_n| = 1$. Let us denote

$$V_j = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : z_j = 0\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Recall the following result of W. Zwonek (see [17], Theorem 2).

Theorem 14. *Let D be a hyperbolic pseudoconvex Reinhardt domain. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) D is c-finitely compact;
(2) D is c-complete;

(3) D is bounded and for any $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

$$\text{if } \overline{D} \cap V_j \neq \varnothing, \text{ then } D \cap V_j \neq \varnothing. \tag{2}$$

All we have to prove is that condition (3) in Theorem 14 implies that any $\zeta \in \partial D$ is a weak peak point. Without loss of generality we may assume that

(i) $D \subset \mathbb{D}^n$;
(ii) $D \cap V_j \neq \varnothing$, $j = 1, \dots, m$.
(iii) $\overline{D} \cap V_j = \varnothing$, $j = m+1, \dots, n$.

Fix a boundary point $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \in \partial D$. First assume that $\zeta_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot \zeta_n \neq 0$. Then there exist $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi(z) < \varphi(\zeta)$ for any $z \in D$, where $\varphi(z) = |z_1|^{\xi_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot |z_n|^{\xi_n}$. Note that $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m \geq 0$ and that there exists a $\delta_0 > 0$ such that for any $z \in D$ we have $|z_j| \geq \delta_0$ for $j = m+1, \dots, n$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_\ell > 0$ and $\xi_{\ell+1} = \dots = \xi_m = 0$.

Fix a point $\eta \in D$ such that $\eta_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot \eta_n \neq 0$. Put $R = \frac{\varphi(\eta)}{\varphi(\zeta)} < 1$. We want to show that there exists a sequence $g_k \in \mathcal{O}(D) \cap C(D \cup \{\zeta\})$ such that

(1) $\|g_k\|_D \to 1$ when $k \to \infty$;
(2) $g_k(\zeta) = 1$ for any $k \geq 1$;
(3) $|g_k(\eta)| \leq R$ for any $k \geq 1$.

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. There exist $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \geq 2$, such that $\operatorname{sign} \beta_j = \operatorname{sign} \xi_j$ and

$$|q\xi_j - \beta_j| \leq \epsilon \quad \text{for any } j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Put $f(z) = z_1^{\beta_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot z_n^{\beta_n}$ and $g(z) = \frac{f(z)}{f(\zeta)}$. We have

$$|f(z)| = \varphi(z)^q |z_1|^{\beta_1 - \xi_1 q} \cdot \ldots \cdot |z_n|^{\beta_n - \xi_n q}.$$

Hence,

$$|g(z)| = \left(\frac{\varphi(z)}{\varphi(\zeta)}\right)^q \cdot \left(\frac{|z_1|}{|\zeta_1|}\right)^{\beta_1 - \xi_1 q} \cdot \ldots \cdot \left(\frac{|z_n|}{|\zeta_n|}\right)^{\beta_n - \xi_n q}$$

and, in particular,

$$|g(z)| \leq \left(\frac{|z_1|}{|\zeta_1|}\right)^{\beta_1 - \xi_1 q} \cdot \ldots \cdot \left(\frac{|z_n|}{|\zeta_n|}\right)^{\beta_n - \xi_n q}.$$

1^o Let us show that $|g(\eta)| < R$. Indeed,

$$|g(\eta)| \leq R^q \cdot \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \max\left\{\frac{|\eta_j|}{|\zeta_j|}, \frac{|\zeta_j|}{|\eta_j|}\right\}\right)^\epsilon.$$

For sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ we have $|g(\eta)| < R$.

2^o Let us now estimate $\|g\|_D$. There exists $\delta_1 >$ such that for any $z \in G$ with $\min\{|z_1|, \dots, |z_\ell|\} \leq \delta_1$ we have

$$|z_1|^{\beta_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot |z_n|^{\beta_n} \leq \varphi(\zeta)^q.$$

Note that

$$|z_1|^{\beta_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot |z_n|^{\beta_n} \leq |z_1|^{\beta_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot |z_\ell|^{\beta_\ell} \cdot \delta_0^{\sum_{j=m+1}^n \beta_j} \leq \delta_1^{q \min\{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_\ell\} - \epsilon} \cdot \delta_0^{q \sum_{j=m+1}^n (\zeta_j - \frac{\epsilon}{q})}.$$

For sufficiently small $\delta_1 > 0$ we have

$$\delta_1^{\min\{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_\ell\} - \frac{\epsilon}{2}} \cdot \delta_0^{\sum_{j=m+1}^n (\zeta_j - \frac{\epsilon}{2})} \leq \varphi(\zeta).$$

Fix such a $\delta_1 > 0$. Take $z \in D$ such that $\min\{|z_1|, \dots, |z_\ell|\} \le \delta_1$. Then $|f(z)| \le \varphi(\zeta)^q$. Therefore,

$$|g(z)| \le \prod_{j=1}^n |\zeta_j|^{q\zeta_j - \beta_j} \le \left(\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n |\zeta_j|} \right)^\epsilon .$$

Take $z \in D$ such that $|z_1|, \dots, |z_\ell| \ge \delta_1$. Then

$$|g(z)| \le \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \max\Big\{ \frac{\delta_1}{|\zeta_j|}, \frac{|\zeta_j|}{\delta_1} \Big\} \right)^\epsilon .$$

All in all, we have $\|g\|_D \to 1$ when $\epsilon \to 0$.

In case, $\zeta_1 \cdot \dots \zeta_n = 0$, consider a projection $\pi : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$. Take $\widetilde{D} = \pi(D)$.

For the construction of a peak function we need the following simple, however, useful result.

Theorem 15. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a domain and let $\zeta \in \partial\Omega$, $\eta \in \Omega$, $r \in [0,1)$ be fixed. Assume that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $f_\epsilon \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \cap C(\Omega \cup \{\zeta\})$ such that*

(1) $|f_\epsilon| < 1 + \epsilon$ on Ω;
(2) $f_\epsilon(\zeta) = 1$;
(3) $|f_\epsilon(\eta)| < r$.

Then there exists $F \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \cap C(\Omega \cup \{\zeta\})$ such that

(1) $|F| < 1$ on Ω;
(2) $F(\zeta) = 1$.

It is easy to check

Lemma 16. *Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then*

$$\Re\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) > \frac{1}{\epsilon} \iff |z - \frac{1}{1+\epsilon}| < \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}.$$

Proof of Theorem. Without loss of generality we may assume that $r = 0$. Indeed, take a sequence

$$g_\epsilon(\lambda) = a(1+\epsilon) \cdot \frac{f_\epsilon(\lambda) - f_\epsilon(\eta)}{(1+\epsilon)^2 - \overline{f_\epsilon(\eta)} f_\epsilon(\lambda)},$$

where

$$a = \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} \cdot \frac{(1+\epsilon)^2 - \overline{f_\epsilon(\eta)}}{1 - f_\epsilon(\eta)}.$$

Note that $g_\epsilon(\eta) = 0$, $g_\epsilon(\zeta) = 1$, and

$$|g_\epsilon(\lambda)| \le |a| = \left| \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} \cdot \frac{(1+\epsilon)^2 - f_\epsilon(\eta)}{1 - f_\epsilon(\eta)} \right| \le \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} \left(1 + \frac{2\epsilon + \epsilon^2}{1-r} \right) \to 1 \quad \text{when } \epsilon \to 0.$$

So, we assume that $r = 0$. Put $\epsilon_k = \frac{1}{4^k}$. Then there exists $f_k = f_{\epsilon_k} \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \cap C(\Omega \cup \{\zeta\})$ such that

(1) $|f_k| < 1 + \epsilon_k$ on Ω;
(2) $f_k(\zeta) = 1$;
(3) $f_k(\eta) = 0$.

Put $U_k = \{z \in \Omega \cup \{\zeta\} : |f_k(z) - 1| < \epsilon_k\}$ and

$$h(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \cdot \frac{1 + \tilde{f}_k(z)}{1 - \tilde{f}_k(z)},$$

where $\tilde{f}_k = \frac{f_k}{1+\epsilon_k}$. Note that $\Re h(z) \geq \frac{1}{2^k} \cdot \Re\left(\frac{1+\tilde{f}_k(z)}{1-\tilde{f}_k(z)}\right) \geq 2^k$ for $z \in U_k$. Put $F = \frac{h-1}{h+1}$. Then $|F - 1| \leq \frac{2}{|h+1|} \leq \frac{2}{|\Re h+1|} \leq \frac{2}{2^k+1}$ on U_k. □

Using Sibony's ideas we show that there exists a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ and a boundary point such that a weak peak function exists, however, peak function does not exist.

Example 17. Fix an irrational number $\alpha > 0$. Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be a domain and let $(z_0, w_0) \in \partial D$. Assume that there exists a neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ of (z_0, w_0) such that

$$D \cap U = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z| \cdot |w|^\alpha < 1\} \cap U.$$

We want to show that there does not exist a holomorphic function $f \in \mathcal{O}(D) \cap C(D \cup U)$ such that $|f| < 1$ on D and $f(z_0, w_0) = 1$.

Indeed, assume that such a function exists. There exists a neighborhood $V \subset \mathbb{C}$ of the origin such that $(z_0 e^{-\alpha\lambda}, w_0 e^{\lambda}) \in U$ whenever $\lambda \in V$. For sufficiently big $n \in \mathbb{N}$ consider functions

$$\psi_n(\lambda) = f(z_0 e^{-\alpha\lambda}, (1 - \frac{1}{n}) w_0 e^{\lambda}).$$

Note that $\psi_n : V \to D \cap U$ is a holomorphic mapping. Hence, there exists a subsequence $\{n_k\}$ such that ψ_{n_k} tends locally uniformly on V to a holomorphic mapping $\psi : V \to \mathbb{C}^2$. It is easy to see (use continuity of f) that

$$\psi(\lambda) = f(z_0 e^{-\alpha\lambda}, w_0 e^{\lambda}).$$

So, we get that $\psi : V \to \overline{D \cap U}$ is a holomorphic mapping such that $|\psi| \leq 1$ and $\psi(0) = 1$. Hence, $\psi \equiv 1$. Since α is irrational, we get $\{(z_0 e^{-\alpha\lambda}, w_0 e^{\lambda}) : \lambda \in V\}$ is dense in a neighborhood of (z_0, w_0). From the continuity of f we get that $f = 1$ on a relatively open subset ∂D containing (z_0, w_0). Then a function $f(z_0, \lambda w_0) = 1$ on the open subset of the unit circle containing 1. Hence, $f(z_0, \lambda w_0) = 1$ everywhere. A contradiction.

References

1. P. Berti, P. Rigo, *Integral representation of linear functionals on spaces of unbounded functions*, PAMS 128 (2000), 3251–3258.
2. E. Bishop, *A minimal boundary for function algebras*, Pacific J. Math. 9 (1959), 629–642.
3. Edwards D. A., *Choquet boundary theory for certain spaces of lower semicontinuous functions, in Function Algebras,* Proc. Internat. Sympos. on Function Algebras, Chicago, (1966), 300309.
4. T.W. Gamelin, *Uniform algebras*, Prentice-Hall, 1969.
5. T.W. Gamelin, J. Garnett, *Distinguished homomorphisms and fiber algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc (1970), 455–474.
6. N. Gogus, T. Perkins, E. Poletsky, *Non-compact versions of Edwards' Theorem*, Positivity 17 (2013), 459–473.
7. M. Jarnicki & P. Pflug, *Invariant distances and metrics in complex analysis*, De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics 9, 2nd ext. edition, 2013.
8. E. Hewitt, *Linear functionals on spaces of continuous functions*, Fund. Math. 37 (1950), 161–189.
9. Ł. Kosiński, W. Zwonek, *Proper holomorphic mappings vs. peak points and Shilov boundary*, Ann. Polon. Math. 107 (2013), 97–108.
10. E. A. Poletsky, *Plurisubharmonic functions as solutions of variational problems,* Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **52** (1991), Part 1, 163–171.

11. F. Wikström, *Jensen measures and boundary values of plurisubharmonic functions,* Ark. Mat. **39**(2001), 181–200.
12. P. Ressel, *Integral representations on convex semigroups*, Math. Scand. 61 (1987), 93–111.
13. W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, 1987.
14. M.A. Selby, *On completeness with respect to the Carathéodory metric*, Canad. Math. Bull. 17 (1974), 261–263.
15. N. Sibony, *Prolongement de fonctions holomorphes bornées et metrique de Carathéodory*, Invent. Math. 29 (1975), 205–230.
16. E.L. Stout, *The theory of uniform algebras*, Bogden & Quigley, 1971.
17. W. Zwonek, *On Caratheodory completeness of pseudoconvex Reinhardt domains*, PAMS 128 (2000), 857–864.

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Jagiellonian University, Łojasiewicza 6, 30-348 Kraków, Poland

E-mail address: Armen.Edigarian@uj.edu.pl